

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

w

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/708,081	11/08/2000	Hiroshi Tanaka	0879-0286P	9588	
2292 BIRCH STFW	7590 09/05/2007 ART KOLASCH & BIRCI	Н	EXAM	INER	
PO BOX 747			SELBY, G	SELBY, GEVELL V	
FALLS CHUR	CH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2622		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/05/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

1 11	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Supplemental Advisory Action	09/708,081	TANAKA ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner .	Art Unit	
,,,	Geveil Selby	2622	
The MAILING DATE of this communication care	•		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe		•	'ess
 THE REPLY FILED 25 June 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF 1. ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: a) ☑ The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date of this A 	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, affortice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in once with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply most of the final rejection.	Appeal. To avoid abai idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 CF ust be filed within one	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3) of the following
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing date.	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FI 36(a) and the appropriate of the fee. The appropriate inally set in the final Office te of the final rejection, e	on. LED WITHIN e extension fee ate extension fee extension fee extension fee to action; or (2) as even if timely filed,
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	s of the date of appeal. Since
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bel appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 	nsideration and/or search (see NO w); tter form for appeal by materially re corresponding number of finally rej	TE below); ducing or simplifying t ected claims.	he issues for
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) 2,3,6,10,14,16,17, amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 2,3,6,14,16,17,19 and 20. Claim(s) objected to: 10. Claim(s) rejected: 1,13. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 	19 and 20 would be allowable if sul will not be entered, or b) will	bmitted in a separate,	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to other evidence. 	d sufficient reasons why the affidav a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	it or other evidence is date of filing a brief, was al and/or appellant fail:	necessary and vill not be s to provide a
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Response to Arguments attached. 		condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		

LINYE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER Application/Control Number: 09/708,081

Art Unit: 2622

Response to Arguments

1. This Supplemental Advisory Action replaces the advisory Action filed on 7/27/07 to

correct sections 5-7.

2. Applicant's arguments filed 6/25/07 have been fully considered but they are not

persuasive. The applicant submits the prior art does not disclose the following limitations of the

claimed invention:

providing a non-communication purpose component which serves as a radio

antenna on the camera, as stated in claim 1. The Examiner respectfully disagrees.

3. Re claim 1) In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one

cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based

on combinations of references. See In re Keller, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); In

re Merck & Co., 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

The Mack reference teaches a camera having a strap that is disposed so as to a user is

prevented from touching the strap during a picture acquisition operation. The Hanaoka

reference teaches an electronic apparatus having a strap, a non-communication purpose

component, which serves as a radio antenna. The combination of Mack and Hanaoka

discloses a camera wherein the non-communication purpose component, the strap of

Mack, functions as a strap antenna which is disposed where it is not unconsciously

touched by a user during a picture taking operation. Claim 13 is not allowable because of

its dependency on claim 1.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXALUM

Page 2